# Exhibit 3

```
1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
  ----- x
3 PHILIP WONG and FREDERIC CHAUSSY,
  individually, on behalf of others
4 similarly situated, and on behalf of
  the general public,
            Plaintiffs,
6
               Case number
7
      -against-
                  07-cv-2446 MMC
8 HSBC MORTGAGE CORPORATION (USA); HSBC
  BANK USA, N.A.; HSBC HOLDINGS, INC.;
9 and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,
10
             Defendants.
  ----- X
11
12
13
        DEPOSITION of DAVID PETERS, taken
14 pursuant to Notice, held at the offices of
15 Littler Mendelson, Esqs., 885 Third Avenue, New
16 York, New York, on August 14, 2008, at
17 a.m., before Fran Insley, a Notary Public of
18 the States of New York and New Jersey.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

0000	2 A P P E A R A N C E S:		
2			
3	NICHOLS KASTER & ANDERSON, LLP		
4	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
5	One Embarcadero Center		
6	Suite 720 San Francisco, California 941		
7	BY: BRYAN J. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.		
8	(415) 277-7235 schwartz@nka.com		
9			
10			
11	LITTLER MENDELSON, ESQS.		
12	Attorneys for Defendants		
13	750 California Street 20th Floor		
14	San Francisco, California 941		
15	BY: MICHAEL F. MC CABE, ESQ. (415) 433-1940		
16	mmcabe@littler.com		
17			
18			
19	ALSO PRESENT:		
20	DAVID JIMENEZ, Videographer		
21			
22	XXXXX		
23			
24			
25			

0000		7.33	DEW			
1		I N	DEX		· <b>-</b>	
2	WITNESS	]	EXAMINAT	ION BY	PAGE	į
3	DAVID PE	TERS	MR. SCH	WARTZ	4	
4		MR. M	IC CABE	123		
5						
6						
7		E X I	H I B I T S			
8	PETERS	DESC	CRIPTION		PAGE	
9	Exhibit 1	A busin	ess card of M	Ir. Peters	5	
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

00004	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins
-	· ·
2	tape 1 in the deposition of Mr. David
3	Peters in the matter of Philip Wong, et
4	al., versus HSBC Mortgage Corporation
5	(USA), et al.
6	Today's date is August 14, 2008.
7	The time is 10:01 a.m. Video operator is
8	David Jimenez of Paradigm Digital
9	Videography.
10	Would counsel please identify
11	themselves and state whom they represent.
12	MR. SCHWARTZ: Bryan Schwartz for
13	the plaintiffs.
14	MR. MC CABE: Michael McCabe,
15	Littler Mendelson, for the defendants.
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court
17	reporter please swear in the witness.
18	
19	DAVID PETERS,
20	having been first duly sworn by the
21	Notary Public, was examined and
22	testified as follows:
23	
24	EXAMINATION BY
25	MR. SCHWARTZ:

- What is your title with the company? 1 Q.
- A. A team leader and a manager. 2
- Q. So you have both titles, team leader 3
- 4 and manager?
- A. A lot of titles in a bank. 5
- Q. Right. One of your titles, it
- 7 appears on Exhibit 1, your business card, is
- 8 also AVP, which I assume means assistant vice
- 9 president?
- 10 A. Correct.
- Q. So that's at least three titles 11
- 12 there. What is the -- what, actually, what are
- 13 your job responsibilities?
- 14 A. I am a loan officer. I need to
- 15 produce loans, close loans, originate loans, to
- 16 make income. I'm a manager of eight loan
- 17 officers, a sales assistant to train and follow
- 18 up on their sales production. In general,
- 19 that's it.
- Q. How does the team leader function or 20
- 21 title differ from sales manager, those
- 22 different capacities, or it's basically the
- 23 same capacity?
- A. Are those really the same thing? 24
- Q. Of your -- you said you need to sell 25

- Q. And your evaluations, are you using 1
- 2 some kind of form that you get from HR or
- 3 something like that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Who do you get it from specifically, 5
- 6 the evaluation forms?
- A. I believe it is generated directly
- 8 from HR. Origination is from HR, I believe.
- Q. Who in HR do you get stuff like that
- 10 from, your management paperwork like that?
- MR. MC CABE: Objection. Vague and 11
- 12 ambiguous.
- 13 A. Specific name, I don't know. It
- 14 comes from HR.
- Q. Is HR, as far as you know, is it 15
- 16 Mortgage Corp. HR, bank HR? Do you know who HR
- 17 works for?
- A. The HR that is direct with us would 18
- 19 be the Mortgage Corp., HR for Mortgage Corp.
- 20 Q. So it's your impression that the HR
- 21 for Mortgage Corp., that they are Mortgage
- 22 Corp. employees?
- 23 A. I believe so.
- Q. Are those -- but in terms of names 24
- 25 of people of HR that you work with in

Filed 09/05/2008

- 1 particular, you can't remember anyone at HR as
- 2 you sit here today; is that right?
- 3 A. One supervisor's name is Willie
- 4 Daniels. More than that --
- 5 Q. More than that you don't know?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. The evaluations that you do of your
- 8 team members, do you evaluate them at all based
- 9 on where, physically where they are when they
- 10 are producing business? Is that a factor at
- 11 all that you consider in your evaluations?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Again, what you are focusing on is
- 14 are they generating money or not?
- 15 MR. MC CABE: Objection. Asked and
- 16 answered.
- 17 Q. Go ahead.
- 18 Production.
- 19 Q. Do all of the -- did you say
- 20 eighteen or fifteen branches in your area, bank
- 21 branches?
- A. Sixteen. I said sixteen, because 22
- 23 the eight loan officers I have, everybody has
- 24 approximately two branches.
- Q. So the sixteen branches, does each 25

Filed 09/05/2008

- 1 bank relationships, meaning deposits at the
- 2 HSBC Bank, then they can -- that would also
- 3 give them preferred treatment in terms of
- 4 pricing exceptions and things of that nature,
- 5 right?
- 6 A. It can. It can.
- Q. If it meets whatever criteria is set
- 8 by upper management for a pricing exception; is
- 9 that right?
- A. When you say exception, I may define 10
- 11 it differently than you may be saying it. To
- 12 me, an exception in the mortgage, HSBC Mortgage
- 13 Corp. side is more to allow something outside
- 14 of the box rather than fall in the normal
- 15 guidelines of the loan program or something.
- 16 That's why I'm making the clarification on
- 17 that.
- 18 Okay. That makes sense.
- 19 So, say, for example, somebody
- 20 sought a loan that was \$10,000 more than what
- 21 they could qualify for based on the normal
- 22 guideline, but they have an existing banking
- 23 relationship with HSBC Bank, you might be able
- 24 to -- they might get an exception that somebody
- 25 who did not have that existing HSBC Bank could

- 1 not get; is that right?
- A. It's possible, yes. 2
- 3 When you say it's possible does that
- 4 happen in your experience that those kinds of
- 5 exceptions are made for existing bank
- 6 customers?
- A. They can happen, yes. There are
- 8 times that they are not allowed as well.
- Q. But you wouldn't make those kinds of
- 10 exceptions for customers from Citibank or
- 11 something? The reason you're giving the
- 12 exception is to encourage people to have this
- 13 relationship across the board with the bank; is
- 14 that right?
- A. It's easier to get an exception for 15
- 16 an HSBC customer, yes. Have I gotten
- 17 exceptions for non-HSBC customers? On a rare
- 18 occasion, yes.
- Q. When you say, "Have I gotten an 19
- 20 exception," who do you go to to get pricing
- 21 exceptions?
- A. I would have to go directly through
- 23 Theresa Davis, my boss, or I have the authority
- 24 at times to go directly to underwriting or the
- 25 secondary department, which is the pricing

- 1 department.
- 2 Q. Who is your contact in underwriting
- 3 department? Who do you deal with there?
- 4 A. It's multiple people. It's team
- 5 leaders. There is twelve different teams, so
- 6 it depends upon what team I happen to be
- 7 dealing with based on what loan program I'm in.
- 8 So I -- Debbie Monesi would be a
- 9 supervisor of many of those team leaders. Don
- 10 Mattea would be another individual that I would
- 11 go to, or sometimes just the team leader.
- 12 Q. These individuals, Debbie Monesi,
- 13 Don Mattea, they deal with underwriting across
- 14 the company; is that right?
- 15 MR. MC CABE: Objection. Lack of
- 16 foundation.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And then the pricing department you
- 19 mentioned, who are the people that you
- 20 typically will deal with there?
- 21 A. Timothy Taggart, Dana Nolte I used
- 22 to deal with. Unfortunately, she is not there
- 23 anymore. Marianne -- Marybeth -- Bethanne
- 24 Malicki is the other person I would deal with.
- 25 Q. Again, these pricing officials will

1 deal with pricing issues companywide; is that

Case 3:07-cv-02446-MMC

- 2 right?
- MR. MC CABE: Objection. Lack of 3
- foundation. 4
- 5 A. I believe so.
- Q. Do you have goals that you get from 6
- 7 upper management as to how much business you
- 8 were supposed to source from particular
- 9 branches?
- 10 A. We have overall goals, not
- 11 specifically from the branches.
- 12 Q. So you don't have a -- so you don't
- 13 have any branch-specific goals as to how much
- 14 business you're supposed to source?
- 15 A. We have a range of business that
- 16 they want from the branches. It is a range.
- 17 It's not a specific number. Again, it's more
- 18 the overall number that they want the loan
- 19 officers to produce.
- 20 Q. What is the range that they are
- 21 hoping that will be attained in terms of
- 22 business sourced from the branch?
- 23 A. It varies dramatically based on the
- 24 branch's capability. Smaller branches will be
- 25 a much smaller range. Smaller branches based

- 1 can be altered.
- 2 Q. When you say weekly call report, are
- 3 you referring to telephone calls, or what do
- 4 you mean by that?
- 5 A. More so of where they are trying to
- 6 solicit referral sources from, branch coverage,
- 7 LIBOR events, that type of thing. Specifically
- 8 management, upper management wants to know
- 9 about extracurricular events over and above
- 10 just normal coverage of branches and stuff.
- 11 Q. The weekly call report, it wouldn't
- 12 necessarily tell you from where somebody is
- 13 calling to solicit new business? For example,
- 14 somebody could be calling from their home.
- 15 They could be calling from a bank branch. They
- 16 could be actually going out to a location to
- 17 meet outside of their home office or a bank
- 18 branch to meet with somebody, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. As far as you're concerned, it
- 21 doesn't matter where somebody is meeting
- 22 customers or soliciting customers so long as
- 23 they are bringing in business, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. You mentioned normal coverage of

- 1 the applications you take in terms of where you
- 2 are taking them?
- 3 A. In the last couple of years they
- 4 would tend to be more -- to be phone
- 5 applications 60 percent of the time,
- 6 approximately. Face to face in my office,
- 7 approximately 20 percent. Face to face,
- 8 approximately 20 percent in the branches and
- 9 maybe one or two percent at the customer's
- 10 house. It is very rarely done anymore. I know
- 11 that's more than a hundred percent.
- 12 Q. Is it? It's 102 percent of the
- 13 time?
- 14 A. 102 percent of the time.
- MR. MC CABE: You're the definition
- 16 of a go-getter.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I got a calculator if
- 18 we need.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: No, I think I got it.
- Q. 60 percent of the time the
- 21 applications are taken on the phone, 20 percent
- 22 of the time you take it at a loan production
- 23 office and 20 percent of the time you take it
- 24 at an HSBC branch, and very occasionally, one
- 25 or two percent of the time you're out at some

- 1 other location, their home or someplace?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. In your experience, is that a
- 4 similar ratio in terms of taking applications
- 5 that you're seeing with your team in the
- 6 locations, where they are taking applications?
- A. I can't give you a fair answer on
- 8 that.
- Q. Would that data, at least some of
- 10 that data be on the 1003's?
- 11 A. 1003 asks for face to face of it or
- 12 by mail, so some of that information would be
- 13 there.
- Q. But you wouldn't know in terms of
- 15 the face-to-face, you wouldn't know where the
- 16 face-to-face --
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 MR. MC CABE: Again, you have to
- 19 wait until he finishes his question.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 21 Q. Now, as the team leader/sales
- 22 manager, do you evaluate your loan officers, is
- 23 that part of your duties, your supervisorial
- 24 duties?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 We are now on the record at
- 2 p.m., August 14, 2008.

- 4 EXAMINATION (continued)
- 5 BY MR. SCHWARTZ:
- 6 Q. Mr. Peters, have you heard -- in the
- 7 context of your job, have you ever heard from
- 8 any company official the phrase "outside
- 9 sales"?
- 10 A. Outside sales, the phrase, yes.
- 11 Q. In what context?
- 12 A. Outside sales in the context of
- 13 business coming from other sources than the
- 14 bank.
- 15 Q. Who did you -- who have you heard
- 16 about outside sales from?
- 17 A. Truthfully, nobody specifically.
- 18 It's literally you're just referring to
- 19 business coming from nonbank sources.
- Q. Have you heard, has any company
- 21 official discussed with you anything with
- 22 respect to outside sales and your loan officers
- 23 in terms of the percentage of their work that
- 24 is supposed to be outside sales?
- 25 A. Would you mind rephrasing that? I'm

- 1 not sure exactly what you're asking.
- 2 Q. Yes. If you've ever been notified
- 3 or informed by any company official that there
- 4 is a particular percentage of work that your
- 5 loan officers are supposed to do that is
- 6 outside sales.
- 7 A. No, no.
- 8 Q. So you're not aware of any policy
- 9 from the company on loan officers doing inside
- 10 sales versus outside sales?
- 11 A. Never heard of any requirement as
- 12 far as percentages or anything regarding where
- 13 that business is coming from, as long as the
- 14 business gets written.
- 15 Q. Are you aware of how your loan
- 16 officers are classified under the Fair Labor
- 17 Standards Act and under New York law in terms
- 18 of exempt or nonexempt?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. No managers or no company officials
- 21 have ever trained you with respect to any
- 22 differences that exist between exempt or
- 23 nonexempt status?
- 24 A. No, sir.
- 25 Q. Have you ever heard of outside sales

- 1 an application fee?
- 2 A. Still there would have to be an
- 3 exception or a VIP filled out with prior
- 4 approval that the application would be --
- 5 application fee would be waived, and even prior
- 6 to Terry there was very little -- the company's
- 7 rule was there was no waiving of application
- 8 fee.
- 9 There are sometimes when it became,
- 10 I guess, more prudent as far as a managerial
- 11 decision to waive it. It was very rarely done,
- 12 however.
- 13 Q. When you say very rarely, what are
- 14 you talking about?
- 15 A. Three times a year for my team.
- 16 Q. Those three times a year where
- 17 application fees were waived, the 325 would
- 18 come out of the loan officer's commission?
- 19 A. There were times it was waived,
- 20 period, so it would not come out of the loan
- 21 officer's commissions, but 99 percent of the
- 22 time it would have to come out of the loan
- 23 officer's commission. It would have to be a
- 24 very rare instance that the company would be
- 25 willing to eat it, so to speak.

00126
1 CERTIFICATE
2
3 I, FRAN INSLEY, hereby certify that
4 the Deposition of DAVID PETERS was held before
5 me on the 14th day of August, 2008; that said
6 witness was duly sworn before the commencement
7 of testimony; that the testimony was taken
8 stenographically by myself and then transcribed
9 by myself; that the party was represented by
10 counsel as appears herein;
11 That the within transcript is a true
12 record of the Deposition of said witness;
That I am not connected by blood or
14 marriage with any of the parties; that I am not
15 interested directly or indirectly in the
16 outcome of this matter; that I am not in the
17 employ of any of the counsel.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
19 set my hand this 20th day of August, 2008.
20
21FRAN INSLEY
22
23
24
25